Evolution of galaxies: observational evidences Over many years, galaxy counts, i.e., the plot of the observed number of galaxies at the limiting magnitude, have been considered to be an important cosmological test. In particular, in the 1930s, Hubble tried to apply them to estimate the curvature of space.

It became clear later that practical application of this test is so difficult (photometric errors, the account for *k*-correction, the evolution of galaxies with time) that "any attempt to do so appears to be a waste of telescope time" (Sandage 1961). Presently, deep counts are regarded not as a cosmological test but rather as a test of galaxy formation and evolution.

・ロト・日本・山田・山田・山田・ シック

∟Galaxy counts

Figure summarizes modern results of differential galaxy counts. (Only data obtained after 1995 are shown.) With each filter, the results of about twenty projects (including 2MASS, SDSS, HDF, CDF, NDF, etc.) are summarized; with filter *B*, counts in the SDF, VVDS, and HUDF fields are added.



∟Galaxy counts

Main result:

good agreement between the results of different works. For example, for $B \approx 25^m$, the count dispersion is only about 10% (accounting for the photometry, different selection of galaxies, etc., this dispersion must be even smaller), which clearly illustrates the homogeneity and isotropy of large-scale galaxy distribution.

The solid lines in the figure show predictions of a semianalytic model of galaxy formation (Nagashima et al. 2002). However, the model predictions are not fully definitive due to many parameters characterizing galactic properties and their evolution with z (including spatial density evolution). For further progress in this field, both observational data and theoretical understanding of galaxy evolution must be improved.

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ ■ のQの

∟Galaxy distribution

The distribution of galaxies in the nearby volume of the Universe is highly inhomogeneous. When passing to the hundred Megaparsec scale, the density fluctuations smoothen and the distribution becomes more homogeneous.



–Galaxy distribution

From $\omega(\theta)$, one can then estimate $\xi(r)$ because both functions are related through the Limber integral equation. If $\xi(r)$ can be represented as a power law $\xi(r) = (r/r_0)^{-\gamma}$, the angular correlation also takes a power-law form $\omega(\theta) \propto \theta^{1-\gamma}$.

The angular correlation function for ~0.5 million galaxies from the 2MASS survey (+APM, SDSS). At angular scales $1' < \theta < 2.^{\circ}5$, this function is well fit by a power law with $1 - \gamma = -0.79 \pm 0.02$. The amplitude of $\omega(\theta)$ depends on the sample depth – for brighter and closer objects, the clustering amplitude increases (this, in particular, explains the systematic shift between different survey data).



イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

1 9 9 9 P

LGalaxy distribution

Galaxies tend to cluster. This means that the probability of finding a galaxy at some point P is highest when P is known to be close to another galaxy. We saw a hint of this in the Local Group because there are 2 big galaxies, not one.

The clustering of galaxies is usually described in terms of two-point correlation functions $\xi(r)$ and $\omega(\theta)$. The former function describes the joint probability of finding two galaxies separated by a distance r, and the latter characterizes the joint probability of detecting two objects at the angular distance θ . To calculate $\xi(r)$, spatial distances between galaxies should be known, and in practice it is therefore more convenient to measure the (angular) two-point correlation function $\omega(\theta)$.

・ キョット (日) ・ モット (日) ・ (日) ・ (日)

∟Galaxy distribution

The spatial correlation function $\xi(r)$ calculated in different papers. In the range 0.1 Mpc $\leq r \leq 20$ Mpc, this function follows a power law with the exponent $\gamma \approx 1.7 - 1.8$, and then tends to zero. The characteristic clustering scale (correlation length) r_0 for nearby galaxies is ~5-7 Mpc. The correlation length depends on the properties of galaxies, such as their luminosity and morphological type, but is independent of the sample depth.



∟Galaxy distribution

Modern survey data allow determining the density fluctuations in the Universe as a function of the scale of averaging. (The power spectrum of the SDSS galaxies, which has been used to plot this figure, is based on data on $2 \cdot 10^5$ galaxies.) Figure shows that different kinds of data, from galaxy density fluctuations to cosmic microwave background anisotropy, form a unique smooth dependence described by the CDM model.



▲□▶▲圖▶▲≣▶▲≣▶ = 差 - のへで

Examples of large-scale structure at high redshifts - LAEs

Sky distribution of 43 LAE candidates at z = 4.86 (Shimasaku et al. 2003). LAE candidates are shown by circles. Brighter candidates are shown by larger circles.

Progenitor of a cluster of galaxies?



└Galaxy distribution

Ouchi et al. (2005) carried out extensive deep narrowband imaging in the 1 sq.deg. sky of the Subaru/XMM-Newton Deep ²⁰⁰ Field and obtained a cosmic map of 515 Ly α emitters (LAEs) ¹⁵⁰ at $z \approx 5.7$ in a volume with za transverse dimension of 180 $\frac{2}{2}$ ¹⁰⁰ Mpc \times 180 Mpc and a depth of \sim 40 Mpc in comoving units. ⁵⁰ This cosmic map shows filamentary LSSs, including clusters and surrounding 10–40 Mpc scale voids, similar to the present-day LSSs.



└Galaxy distribution

└Galaxy distribution

Shimasaku et al. (2006): angular correlation function of LAEs at z = 5.7. The filled and open circles correspond to the whole sample (N = 89) and a bright sample (N = 53), respectively.



LGalaxy distribution

LGalaxy distribution

Examples of large-scale structure at high redshifts - LBGs





< ロ > < 団 > < 目 > < 目 > < 目 > < 目 > の < の</p>

└Galaxy distribution

Correlation length and galaxy–dark matter bias as a function of redshift.

A bias factor *b* is defined by

$$\xi(r)_{\text{galaxies}} = b^2 \xi(r)_{\text{mass}},$$

or

$$b^2 = \sigma_8^2$$
(galaxies)/ σ_8^2 (mass).

 $(b \neq 1 - \text{light does not follow mass.})$



Luminosity function evolution

The luminosity function (LF) is the dependence of the number of galaxies within a unit volume on their luminosity. It is one of the most important integral characteristics of galaxies. The LF allows estimating the mean luminosity density in the Universe. The LF form is one of the main tests of galaxy formation models.

The standard form of the LF is the so-called Schechter function

$$\phi(L)\mathrm{d}L = \phi_* \left(L/L_*\right)^\alpha \exp(-L/L_*) \mathrm{d}(L/L_*),$$

where $\phi(L)dL$ is the number of galaxies with the luminosity from L to L + dL per unit volume, and ϕ_* , L_* and α are parameters.

Luminosity function evolution

The parameter ϕ_* yields the normalization of the LF, L_* is the characteristic luminosity, and α determines the slope of the weak wing $(L < L_*)$ of the LF: the weak wing of the LF is flat for $\alpha = -1$, the LF increases with decreasing L for $\alpha < -1$, and decreases at $\alpha > -1$.

The Schechter function fits well the real LF of field galaxies and clusters and has convenient analytical properties.



Luminosity function evolution



Luminosity function evolution

The local LF of galaxies is relatively well studied. According to many projects (including the 2dF and SDSS surveys), within the luminosity range $-15^m \le M(B) \le -22^m$, the LF can be described with the following values of parameters:

$$\alpha \approx -(1.1 - 1.2),$$

 $M_*(B) \approx -20.^m 2 \ (L_*(B) = 1.9 \cdot 10^{10} \ L_{\odot,B}),$ and $\phi_* \approx (0.5 - 0.7) \cdot 10^{-2} \ \text{Mpc}^{-3}.$

Therefore, the luminosity density of galaxies at z = 0 is $\rho_L(B) = \phi_* L_* \Gamma(\alpha + 2) \approx 1.3 \cdot 10^8 L_{\odot,B} / \text{Mpc}^3$ and the galaxy density is $\rho = \rho_L / L_* = \phi_* \Gamma(\alpha + 2) \sim 10^{-2} \text{ Mpc}^{-3}$. The LF of local galaxies depends on their morphological type and environment. Luminosity function evolution

Croton et al. (2005):

direct comparison of the earlyand late-type galaxy populations in the cluster environment and void regions of the 2dF survey. The void population is composed almost exclusively of faint late-type galaxies, while in the cluster regions the galaxy population brighter than -19^m consists predominantly of early types.



Luminosity function evolution

Numerous deep field studies performed over the last ten years have enabled the evolution of the LF with z to be determined. In solving this problem, the so-called 'photometric redshifts' inferred from multicolor photometry are used instead of spectroscopic ones for the most distant objects. Such a photometry allows a kind of a low-resolution spectrum and hence z of an object to be obtained. Photometric estimations of z are being made with \approx 10%–20% accuracy, which is quite sufficient to derive the LF for large samples of galaxies.



・ロト・西ト・ヨト・ヨト・日・ つへぐ

Luminosity function evolution

By considering different types of objects separately, the space density of elliptical and early spiral galaxies almost stays constant or slightly decreases toward $z \sim 1$, while their LF evolution can be described as a change in the luminosity of galaxies (they become brighter).

In contrast, the space density of late spiral galaxies with active star formations notably increases toward $z \sim 1$.

The change in the LF of galaxies alters the luminosity density they produce: from z = 0 to $z \sim 3$, the value of ρ_L increases, with the strongest growth being in the UV region (by about 5 times).

Luminosity function evolution

Observations suggest a differential (depending on the galaxy type and the color band) evolution of the LF. Different papers give somewhat different results, but the qualitative picture emerging is as follows: the value of M_* increases with z, while ϕ_* decreases. The evolution of the LF slope is much less definitive.



- ・ロト・日本・モト・モト・モー めんの

LEvolution of the galaxy structure

One of the main goals of the deep field galaxy studies is the origin and evolution of the Hubble sequence. In the local Universe, the optical morphology of the vast majority of bright galaxies can be described in terms of a simple classification scheme suggested by Hubble. Only about 5% of nearby objects do not fit this scheme and are related to irregular or interacting galaxies.



LEvolution of the galaxy structure

Morphological evolution

Bar fraction

Abraham et al. (1999): decline in the rest-frame optical bar fraction from ~24% at z~0.2-0.7 to below 5% at z>0.7.

Elmegreen et al. (2004), Jogee et al. (2004)... : optical fraction of strong bars remains at ~30% from the present to z~1.



) Q (

The deep HST fields for the first 0.5 time allowed us to see the structure of distant galaxies. The $\frac{5}{0.4}$ very first studies revealed that 5 0.3 the fraction of galaxies that do $\stackrel{\vee}{=}$ not fit the Hubble scheme in- 0 0.2 creases for fainter objects. At L 0.1 $z\sim 1$ (where the age of the Uni- Ξ verse is about half the Hubble 0.0 0.0 0.2 time), the fraction of such galaxies reaches 30%-40%.

・ロト・日下・日下・日、 日、 うらく

0.6

0.8

0.4

redshift

1.0

Fraction of interacting and merging galaxies

The statistics of objects in some deep fields also suggests that the fraction of interacting galaxies and merging galaxies increases with z. With the $(1 + z)^m$ growth assumed, observational data suggest $m \approx 2 - 4$ for $z \sim 1$. The evolution of the merging rate is likely to depend on the mass of galaxies – it is most pronounced for massive objects.

It is much more difficult to draw definitive conclusions on the structure evolution for objects at $z \ge 1$ due to the increasing effects of the *k*-correction, the cosmological diming of surface brightness and degradation of the resolu- tion.

Levolution of the galaxy structure

Kartaltepe et al. (2007):

Reference	mag^{a}	$z^{\mathbf{b}}$	$z \ \rm Range^c$	Sample Size ^d	$\#P \text{ or } M^e$	$m \text{ or } n ^{\mathrm{f}}$
Galaxy Pair Fraction Stud	ies					
Zepf & Koo (1989)	$B \leq 22$	A	< z >= 0.25	~ 1000	20	4.0 ± 2.5
Carlberg et al. (1994)	$V \le 22.5$	S	< z >= 0.42	1062	14	2.3 ± 1.0
Burkey et al. (1994)	I = 18.5 - 23	A,S	0.4 - 0.7	146	50	3.5 ± 0.5
Woods et al. (1995)	$I \leq 24$	N		~ 1000	23	0
Neuschaefer et al. (1995)	$I \leq 22$		$z_{med} = 0.5$	~ 4500		0
Yee & Ellingson (1995)	$r \leq 21.5$	S	< z >= 0.38	107	25	4.0 ± 1.5
Neuschaefer et al. (1997)	I = 18 - 23	S	$\leq 1-2$	~ 22400	90	1.2 ± 0.4
Patton et al. (1997)	$r \leq 22$	S	< z >= 0.33	545	73	2.8 ± 0.9
Wu & Keel (1998)	$I \leq 24$	Α	~ 2		10	0 - 2
Carlberg et al. (2000)	$M_R \le 19.8$	S	0.1 - 1.1	\sim 3300	109	0.1 ± 0.5 g
Le Fevre et al. (2000)	$\delta m \leq 1.5$	S	≤ 1	285	26	2.7 ± 0.6
Patton et al. (2002)	$R_{ m c} \leq 21.5$	S	0.12 - 0.55	4184	88	$2.3\pm0.7^{ m g}$
Lin et al. (2004)	$M_B = -(21 - 19)$	S	0.45 - 1.2	5000		1.60 ± 0.29
Lin et al. (2004)						0.51 ± 0.28
This work ^h	$> \mathbf{L}^{\star}_{\mathbf{V}}$	\mathbf{Ph}	0 - 1.2	106118	1749	3.1 ± 0.1
Morphologically Selected M	lerger Studies					
Le Fevre et al. (2000)	$\delta m \leq 1.5$	S	≤ 1	285	49	3.4 ± 0.6
Reshetnikov (2000)		\mathbf{Ph}	0.5 - 1.0		14	$3.6^{+1.2}_{-0.9}$
Conselice et al. (2003)	$M_B \leq -18$	Ph,S	0 - 3	1212	43	4 - 6
Lavery et al. (2004)		Ph,S	0.1 - 1.0	***	25	5.2 ± 0.7
Lotz et al. (2006)	$> 0.4 L_{P}^{\star}$	S	0.2 - 1.2	2368	157	1.12 ± 0.60



LEvolution of the galaxy structure

Morphological evolution

Abraham & van den Bergh (2001):

Table 1: Summary of key ages in galaxy morphology to z=1

Redshift	Look-back Time	Key Developments in Galaxy Morphology		
z<0.3	<~3.5 Gyr	Grand-design spirals exist. Hubble scheme applies in full detail.		
z~0.5	~5 Gyr	-Barred spirals become rare. Spiral arms are underdeveloped. The bifurcated "tines" of the Hubble tuning fork begin to evaporate.		
z>0.6	>6 Gyr	Fraction of mergers and peculiar galaxies increases rapidly. By z=1 aroun 30% of luminous galaxies are off the Hubble sequence.		

・ロ・・母・・ヨ・・ヨ・ シへぐ

└─Evolution of the galaxy structure └─Radial surface brightness of spiral galaxies ⊢Evolution of the galaxy structure □ Radial surface brightness of spiral galaxies

Trujillo & Pohlen (2005): 36 HUDF galaxies (0.1<z<1.1), 21 with downbending disks

Trujillo & Pohlen (2005) used the position of the truncation (break radius) as a direct estimator of the size of the stellar disk.



= 900

They found that the radial position of the truncations has increased with cosmic time by 1-3 kpc since z~1.

Sample: all objects with V₆₀₆ < 23.5^m and b/a>0.4 in the HDF-N, HDF-S and HUDF

N=201 object: 29 stars, 123 spirals with b/a>0.4 (117 with z)



<u>୬</u>ବ୍

LEvolution of the galaxy structure Radial surface brightness of spiral galaxies

> General characteristics of the sample (117 galaxies) are

 $\langle M_{B} \rangle = -19.46 \pm 1.58$ (mean absolute magnitude), <z> = 0.53 ± 0.27 (mean redshift),

Frequency of exp. profiles -0.38 ± 0.06 (N=44), downbending profiles -0.25 ± 0.05 (N=29), upbending profiles -0.16 ± 0.04 (N=19), peculiar surf. brightness -0.21 ± 0.04 (N=25).

Evolution of the galaxy structure

Radial surface brightness of spiral galaxies



spirals from the same work.

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ ■ のQの

Evolution of the galaxy structure Radial surface brightness of spiral galaxies

Surface brightness

Barden et al. (2005): strong evolution in the magnitude-size scaling relation for galaxies with $M_V < -20^m$, corresponding to a brightening of $\Delta \mu(V) \sim 1$ in reast-frame V band by $z \sim 1$.



Evolution of the galaxy structure Vertical surface brightness distribution

> Reshetnikov, Battaner, Combes, Jimenez-Vicente (2002), Reshetnikov, Dettmar, Combes (2003)

Sample: 45 edge-on galaxies in the HDF-N and HDF-S with d>1.3"

Mean characteristics: $\langle I_{814} \rangle = 24.4 \pm 1.2$ <b/a> = 0.32 ± 0.08 <z> = 0.89 ± 0.44 $\langle M(B) \rangle = -18^{m} \pm 1.3$

▲□▶▲圖▶▲≣▶▲≣▶ = 差 - のへで

LEvolution of the galaxy structure

Vertical surface brightness distribution



└─Evolution of the galaxy structure └─Vertical surface brightness distribution

Distant warps

9 of 45 galaxies show integral-like warp (20%) with mean amplitude ~6°



Almost all galaxy disks at $z\sim1$ might be warped! Fraction of strong warps (>4.5°) changes as $(1+z)^4$

∟Sizes of galaxies

Ferguson et al. (2004): half-light radii vs. redshift (GOODS projects).

The solid blue curve shows the expected trend if physical sizes do not evolve. The dashed red curve shows the trend if sizes evolve as $H^{-1}(z)$.



LKinematics of distant spirals

└Kinematics of distant spirals



LKinematics of distant spirals

∟Chemical evolution

Example: M_K -metallicity relation for the intermediate-z(z = 0.4 - 1) starburst galaxies (red symbols) and local spirals (blue stars) – Liang et al. (2005). (The oxygen abundances are derived from $R_{23} = [OII]\lambda 3727/H\beta + [OIII]\lambda 4959, 5007/H\beta$.)

Flores et al. (2006), Yang et al. (2007), Niechel et al. (2008), Puech et al. (2008) - 2D velocity fields of 65 spirals at z=0.4-0.75 (ESO-VLT)

Main conclusions:

- At z~0.6, almost 40% of all galaxies are not rotating disks (not at dynamical equilibrium)
- The zero point of the TF relation (K-band) evolve (~0.7^m brightening between z=0 and z~0.6)

Galaxy kinematics are evolving very rapidly!

LChemical evolution

Rodrigues et al. (2008):

Conclusions: The predominant population of $z \sim 0.6$ starbursts and luminous IR galaxies (LIRGs) are on average, two times less metal rich than the local galaxies at a given stellar mass; the metal abundance of the gaseous phase of galaxies is evolving linearly with time, from z = 1 to z = 0 and after comparing with other studies, from z = 3 to z = 0.

$ext{ } \Box Optical colors$

The color evolution of early-type galaxies in clusters out to $z \approx 0.9$ (blue curve – purely passive evolution model).

∟Optical colors

Rudnick et al. (2003): the cosmic rest-frame color of all the visible stars that lie in galaxies with $L_V > 1.4 \times 10^{10} L_{\odot}$. (Solid lines – a model with an exponentially declining SFH with $\tau = 6$ Gyr and $z_{start} = 4.0$.)

⊢Fundamental plane evolution

Kormendy relation

Ziegler et al. (1999): KR for distant galaxy clusters (z = 0.4 - 0.55.

An average brightening of of distant ellipticals by $= 0.^{m}42$ at z = 0.4 and $= 0.^{m}73$ at z = 0.55. The luminosity evolution of early-type galaxies since intermediate redshifts as derived from the Kormendy relations is compatible with passive evolution models.

The (observed) Kormendy relation (dotted lines) for the distant cluster sample *ci0016i* (triangles) compared with the local sample (squares). The solid line represents the magnitude limit, which is shifted to the Coma SBD sample according to the calculated distance modulus and the expected luminosity evolution. The dashed line is the fit to this reduced sample.

-Fundamental plane evolution

Fundamental plane

van Dokkum & van der Marel (2007): new spatially-resolved Keck spectroscopy of early-type galaxies in three galaxy clusters at $z \approx 0.5$.

-Fundamental plane evolution

An edge-on view of the fundamental plane for field early-type galaxies at $z \sim 1.1$ from di Serego Alighieri et al. (2005, red squares and black circles) and Treu et al. (2005b, blue squares). Open triangles refer to the Coma ellipticals from Jørgensen, Franx & Kjærgaard (1995) and the dashed line is a best fit to the data. The dotted line is shifted parallel to the dashed line by an amount in surface brightness corresponding to the observed shift of the fundamental plane of galaxy clusters (i.e., $\Delta M/L_B =$ -0.46z, van Dokkum & Stanford 2003). The effective surface brightness in the *B* band (μ_c^B) is in magnitudes per arcsec².

-Fundamental plane evolution

Edge-on projection of the FP in the three clusters. Dots are galaxies in the nearby Coma cluster. The solid line is a fit to Coma.

⊢Fundamental plane evolution

Evolution of the zeropoint of the FP can be interpreted as a systematic change of the mean M/L ratio with redshift. The conversion of zeropoint offsets to offsets in M/L ratio assumes that early-type galaxies form a homologous family and that the FP is a manifestation of an underlying relation between the M/L ratio of galaxies and other parameters.

Starting from the empirical FP relation and assuming $M \propto \sigma^2 r_e$ and $L \propto I_e r_e^2$, this underlying relation is

$$M/L \propto \sigma^{2+a} r_e^{-(1+b)/b}.$$

Evolution of the mean M/L_B ratio of field galaxies with $M > 10^{11} M_{\odot}$ (blue symbols) compared to that of cluster galaxies (red symbols). The age difference between massive field ($z_f = 1.95$) and cluster ($z_c = 2.23$) galaxies is small at ~ 4%.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 目 のへで